UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,776	11/26/2003	Haixun Wang	YOR920030413US1	7238
	7590 05/25/2014 N & LEWIS, LLP	EXAMINER		
1300 POST RO	· ·	BITAR, NANCY		
	SUITE 205 FAIRFIELD, CT 06824			PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/722,776	WANG ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	NANCY BITAR	2624		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 F</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine.	wn from consideration.  or election requirement.  er.			
<ul> <li>10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 14 June 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct</li> <li>11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.</li> </ul>	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seetion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/722,776 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's response to the last Office Action, filed 12/09/2009, has been entered and made of record.

- 2. Claims 1-20 are currently pending.
- 3. Applicant's arguments, in the amendment filed 2/11/2010, with respect to the rejections of claims 1-20 under 35 U.S.C103 (a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of King-Shy Goh et al (DynDex:A dynamic and non-metric space indexer)

#### **Examiner Notes**

4. Examiner cites particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims below for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested that, in preparing responses, the applicant fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/722,776

Art Unit: 2624

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

6. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al (Clustering by Pattern Similarity in Large data Sets, ACM SIGMOD' 2002 June 4-6, Madison Wisconsin, USA) in view of Goh et al (DynDex:A dynamic and non-metric space indexer)

As to claim 1, Wang teaches a method for use in finding near-neighbors in a set of objects comprising the steps of: identifying subspace pattern similarities that the objects in the set exhibit in multi-dimensional spaces (identifying subspace clusters in high-dimensional data sets, section 1.3); and defining subspace correlations between in the set and each of or more remaining objects in the set based on the identified subspace pattern similarities for use in identifying near-neighbor objects. Wang discloses clustering by pattern similarity in large data sets (see abstract), including the further limitation wherein the distance function -comprises the following: given two data objects x and y, a subspace S, and a dimension  $k \in S$ , the sequencebased distance between x and y is as follows: 7 dist k, S  $(x, y) = \max i \in S (xi-yi) - (xk - yk)$  (see section 4.1: Pair wise Clustering, column 2, lines 1-7; in order to increase the efficiency of determining the pattern similarity). While Wang meets a number of the limitations of the claimed invention, as pointed out more fully above, Wang fails to specifically teach the defining subspace correlations between one of the objects in the set and each of or more remaining objects in the set based on the identified subspace pattern similarities for use in identifying nearneighbor objects

Specifically, Goh teaches DynDex, an indexing method that deals with both the dynamic and non-metric aspects of the distance function. DynDex employs statistical methods including distance-based classification and bagging to enable efficient indexing with DPF. In addition to its efficiency for conducting similarity searches in very high dimensional spaces, we show that DynDex remains effective when features are weighted dynamically for supporting personalized searches (Goh abstract). Goh et al. teaches know technique of near neighbor identification where two objects that are interchangeable in the representative set do not add useful information for performing cluster ranking. Thus, the set should consist of objects that are non-redundant to one another. A good estimator of redundancy is the correlation between the distance vectors of the objects (section 3.2.4 and figure 5-6 and table 1) it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to identify the near neighbor object using the subspace correlation in Wang method in order to support fast retrieval speed for high-dimensional data in a non-metric and dynamic space and support efficient similarity searches as well as context based searches via relevance feedback. Therefore, the claimed invention would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention by applicant.

As to claims 2, Goh et al. teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the identifying step further comprises the step of creating a pattern distance index (equation 4 and section 4.1-4.5)

As to claim 3, Wang et al. teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the multi-dimensional spaces comprise arbitrary spaces (figure 1 and 2 and see Goh et al Introduction section 1).

As to claims 4- 5, Wang et al. teaches the method of claim 4, wherein the subspace dimensionality is an indicator of a degree of similarity between the objects (section 4.1; see also

Goh et al teaches DPF compares different pairs of objects in different feature subspaces. That is, features are dynamically activated while finding the points of similarity between objects, section 3, paragraphs 1 and 2).

As to claim 6, Goh et al., teaches the method of claim 1, wherein data relating to the objects is static (By applying a static weighting vector for measuring similarity, the weighted Minkowski distance function assumes that similar images resemble the query images in the same set of features, section 2.1).

As to claim 8, Wang et al. teaches the method of claim 1, wherein data relating to the objects comprises gene expression data (the gene expression data are organized as matrices, section 1.2).

As to claims 7 and 9, Wang et al. teaches the method of claim 1, wherein data relating to the objects comprises synthetic data and dynamic data (synthetic and real life data sets, section 5).

As to claim 10, Wang. et al. teaches the method of claim 1, wherein identifying the subspace pattern similarities comprises a comparison of any subset of dimensions in the multi-dimensional spaces (section 2; and Goh et al section 3.2).

. As to claims 11- 13, Wang et al. teaches the method of claim 12, wherein a first pair in the sequence of pairs comprises a base of comparison for one or more remaining pairs in the sequence of pairs (figure 13; see also Goh et al page 470 1<sup>st</sup> left paragraph).

As to claim 14, Goh teaches the method of claim 12, wherein the sequence of pairs is represented sequentially in a tree structure comprising one or more edges and one or more nodes (coordinate based method, page 469 section 3 paragraph 1).

As to claim 15, Goh et al. teaches the method of claim 2, wherein creating the pattern distance index comprises use of pattern-distance links (section 4.1).

As to claim 16, Goh et al. teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the process is optimized by maintaining a set of embedded ranges (figure 1, page 467)

Claims 17-20 differ from claim 1 only in that claims 19-20 are program claims whereas, claim 1 is an apparatus claim. Thus, claims 19-20 are analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claims above.

### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NANCY BITAR whose telephone number is (571)270-1041. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (7:30a.m. to 5:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vikkram Bali can be reached on 571-272-7415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,776 Page 7

Art Unit: 2624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nancy Bitar/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624

/Wes Tucker/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624